Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Daljeet Singh,

s/o Late Smt. Gurbachan Kaur, # 181/1, Street No. 1, Near Bakeman, Guru Nanak Nagar, District Patiala – 147 001

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, District Fatehgarh Sahib. First Appellate Authority, o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

Appeal Case No. 3039 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **24.10.19**, **12.12.19**, **27.2.2020**, **9.12.2020**, **and 4.2.2021**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **8.1.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> <u>regarding some old land records</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **22.5.19** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **20.8.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **4.2.2021**.
- 2. Both parties are absent. At the last hearing on 4.2.2021 the respondent PIO was directed to submit a fresh affidavit in specific compliance of this Commission's Order of 27.2.2020. The respondent PIO has submitted said affidavit vide Letter No. 665 Dated 5.3.2021. A copy of the same has also been received in the Commission.
- 3. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Lajpat Rai,

S/o Harbans Lal, Street No. II, Ganesh Estate, Bathinda.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Station House Officer, Urban Estate, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Inspector General of Police, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 3092 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 94643-92733 ASI Kuldeep Singh (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 2.12.2020 and 4.2.2021)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **10.10.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding complaint filed by Harish Kumar, Clerk Punjabi University Patiala, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **12.10.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **20.10.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **4.2.2021**.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has written to this Commission vide email Dated 24.5.2021 that he is no longer requires the information and wishes to withdraw his case. The respondent PIO who was issued a Show Cause Notice at the last hearing on 4.2.2021 has made a detailed submission in response. The PIO's reply cites 7 annexures R-1 to R-7 which have not been appended with the reply.
- 3. The respondent PIO is directed to email clearly scanned copies of the annexures, to this Commission today itself.
- 4. In light of the appellant's email of 24.5.2021, however, there is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Lajpat Rai,

S/o Harbans Lal, Street No. II, Ganesh Estate, Bathinda.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Inspector General of Police, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 3093 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 94643-92733

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 2.12.2020 and 4.2.2021)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **10.10.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding documents regarding complaints from Punjab Raj Mahila Commission, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **12.10.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **20.10.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **4.2.2021**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh, via Video Conference Facility at Patiala, stated that the information pertaining to the discrepancies listed by the appellant vide his Letter Dated 16.1.2021, have been supplied to the appellant. The subject matter of this appeal case as per the respondent's is identical that in Appeal Case No. 3092 of 2020 and the requested information has already been supplied. Since last hearing, there is no other communication from the appellant which compels this Commission to conclude that the appellant is satisfied with the information supplied to him.
- 3. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Jagshir Singh, S/o Sh. Lakhmir Singh, Village Marda Heri, Post Office-Dakala, District Patiala-147001

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Municipal Corporation, District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 895 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 75085-56805 ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 94643-92733

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **29.12.2020** vide which the appellant has sought <u>voluminous</u> <u>information regarding SHO Balbehra</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **7.1.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **9.2.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **16.9.2021**.
- 2. Both parties are present via Video Conference Facility from Patiala. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh vide Letter No. 649 Dated 30.3.2021, submitted that a reply to the RTI application was handed over to the appellant vide Letter No. 1878/B Dated 21.7.2021 which was duly received under the signature by the appellant. In said letter SSP-cum-PIO has informed the appellant that there are no complaints pending against him at Police Station Balbehra.
- 3. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action and this complaint case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Karnail Singh, S/o Sh. Gurdev Singh, Village Bahmana, Tehsil Samana, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Tehsildar, Samana, District Patiala.

Complaint Case No. 194 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Complainant) Absent Gurcharan Singh, Kanugo (for the Respondent) 98150-12118

ORDER:

- 1. The complainant, **Karnail Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **19.7.2019** and sought information <u>regarding some *murrabebandi* records</u>, from the **PIO o/o Tehsildar**, **Samana**, **Patiala**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **8.2.2021**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **16.9.2021**.
- 2. The complainant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by Gurcharan Singh, Kanugo, present via Video Conference Facility from Patiala, states that a reply was first sent to the complainant vide Letter No. 111 Dated 13.8.2019 and a second reply along with 4 pages by way of information was sent vide Letter No. 145 Dated 30.3.2021, after the Notice of Hearing from this Commission.
- 3. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action and this complaint case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Manjinder Singh (Advocate),

(Registered)

S/o Sh. Swaran Singh, A-358, Partap Nagar, G.T. Road, District Amritsar.

Versus

Public Information Officer.

o/o Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL), The Mall, District Patiala.

Complaint Case No. 529 of 2020 (Cisco Webex Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Manjinder Singh (Complainant) 98159-55201 Arundeep Sidhu, SDO (for the Respondent) 96461-13047

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 10.2.2021)

- 1. The complainant, Manjinder Singh, filed this RTI application dated 19.3.2020 and sought information regarding Rajindera Industries / RIA Fans, owned by Rajinder Singh at A-357 New Partap Nagar, Amritsar, from the PIO o/o CMD, PSPCL, Patiala, when no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on 14.8.2020. The case was last heard on 10.2.2021.
- 2. Both parties are present via Cisco Webex. As directed at the last hearing on 10.2.2021, the respondent PIO has submitted duly attested copies of the reply and information sent to the complainant vide Letter No. 66/61/RTI-CCA-0041 Dated 4.1.2021. The complainant however, says that the copy supplied to him is not attested. He has also requested copy of a document based on which, the information sought at point D of the RTI application, was denied to him. The PIO's reply and attested copies of the information, which were submitted to this Commission, be sent to the complainant by registered post.
- 3. The respondent PIO is meanwhile directed to send a copies of the documents/instructions based on which the information sought at Point D of the RTI application has been denied.
- 4. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action and this complaint case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.
Chandigarh
16.9.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Sukhwinder Singh,

S/o Harbans Singh, C/o Amar Nath, # 33159, Street No.1, Partap Nagar, District Bthinda – 151 005.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Chairman, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd., District Patiala.

Complaint Case No. 786 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent Hari Om, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 98155-56220 Som Nath, PIO-cum-Deputy Secretary (Respondent)

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 4.2.2021)

- 1. The complainant, **Sukhwinder Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **31.7.2020** and sought information <u>regarding an application to Chairman PSPCL on 11.3.2020</u>, from the **PIO o/o PSPCL**, **Patiala**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **14.10.2020**. The case was last heard on **4.2.2021**.
- 2. At the last hearing on 4.2.2021, the complainant was given an opportunity to list any deficiency and be heard in person at today's hearing. There is however, no communication from the complainant, compelling this Commission to conclude that he is satisfied with the information supplied.
- 3. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action and this complaint case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.